

REMARKS

Applicant has carefully considered the Examiner's Office Action and acknowledges with thanks the Examiner's comment that claims 1-9 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

In view thereof, applicant has replaced claims 1-9 with the newly submitted claims 10-20.

These new claims 10 to 20 define the invention in the clear and definite form that meets the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

These new claims 10-20 define the method steps for the method claims, and for the apparatus claims the structure is recited in a positive manner. Claims 10-20 also provide for proper antecedent basis.

Thus, claim 10 replaces claim 1, and to avoid the alternative "or" recitation in claim 1, applicant has formulated claim 11 to correspond to claim 10 with the exception that the "wall" recited in claim 10 is replaced by the expression "chamber" in claim 11.

Claims 12 to 15 correspond to claims 2-5 with respect to the subject matter. Claim 16 is again formulated to avoid the alternative "or" in claim 5 by replacing the recitation "wall" in claim 15 with the term "chamber" in claim 16.

Claims 17 to 20 replace claims 6 to 9, respectively.

It is submitted that claims 10 to 20 include the same subject matter and limitations of the respective claims 1 to 9 which the Examiner considered allowable provided these claims 1 to 9 are rewritten to meet the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

As a result, these new claims 10 to 20 should be found fully allowable.

Applicant has also amended the specification to provide for the required section headings, and to avoid reference to specific claim numbers.

It is submitted that with the present amendments to the claims and to the specification, the application is in condition for final allowance.

It is respectfully requested, therefore, that the claims be allowed and the case be passed to issue.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable to amend further the specification and/or claims in formal respects to place the application in condition for final allowance, then it is respectfully requested that such amendments be carried out by Examiner's Amendment, through a phone call to applicant's representative, and the case passed to issue.

Applicant has studied the references which the Examiner cited for being of interest but not applied in the case. After detailed analysis of these references, applicant has concluded that they are entirely unrelated to applicant's invention, and they do not anticipate the novel features of applicant's arrangement.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington D. C. 20231, on.....

MAX FOGL  
Name of applicant, assignee, or  
Registered Representative  
Max Fogiel  
Signature  
7/8/05  
Date of signature

Respectfully submitted,

By: Max Fogiel  
Max Fogiel  
44 Maple Court  
Highland Park, NJ 08904  
Phone: (732) 214-8892